

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/486,094	07/17/2000	GEORGES ' FREYSSINET	A33002-PCT	1744	
21003	7590 10/20/2003	EXAMINER		INER	
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			KUBELIK, ANNE R		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638	1638	
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/486,094	FREYSSINET ET AL.			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the					
issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): <u>112, 2nd; 102</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9,10 and 43-62</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7,8,19-35 and 39-42</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)∐ approved or b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Objection: Applicant urges that they disagree that the article before "nucleic" in claim 19 is improper. This is not found persuasive

because no reasons are given.

112, 1st, written description: Applicant urges that the claims are now drawn to a nucleic acid encoding an antifungal protein of general formula II. This is not found persuaisve because the specification only describes one nucleic acid encoding a protein within the broad scope of nucleic aicds encoding such proteins, and only describes one such protein (SEQ ID NO:2). The specification does not describe the structural features of other nucleic acids within the scope of the claims.

112, 1st, enablement: Applicant urges that the claims are now drawn to a nucleic acid encoding an antifungal protein of general formula I This is not found persuaisve because the specification only teaches one nucleic acid encoding a protein within the broad scope of nucleic

aicds encoding such proteins. The specification does not teach other nucleic acids within the scope of the claims.

AMY J. NELSON, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600